

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 EZEKIAL FLATTEN, *et al.*,
8 Plaintiffs,
9 v.
10 BRUCE SMITH, *et al.*,
11 Defendants.

Case No. [21-cv-07031-SI](#)

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS TO
SUPPLEMENT OPPOSITIONS**


Re: Dkt. Nos. 42, 44

12
13 Plaintiffs have filed two administrative motions to supplement their oppositions to
14 defendants' motions to dismiss the complaint. Plaintiffs seek to add new information that they
15 contend supports their RICO claims against defendants.

16 The Court DENIES these motions, as the sufficiency of the complaint will be determined on
17 the allegations contained in the complaint and not on matters outside the pleadings. If plaintiffs
18 amend the complaint, plaintiffs may include the new information.

19
20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21
22 Dated: November 17, 2021

23
24
25
26
27
28 
SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge